

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/774,076		LANDOLFI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Yunsoo Kim		1644	

**All Participants:**

(1) Yunsoo Kim.

(2) Michael Biro.

**Date of Interview:** 1 February 2007

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** After Final

(3) Susan Harlocker.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 1:40pm

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

47-49

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant's representatives, Mr. Michael Biro and Ms. Susan Harlocker, authorized to amend the claims as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment.*


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)